

NIELSEN MERKSAMER LLP

Christopher E. Skinnell, Esq. (S.B. No. 227093)

David J. Lazarus, Esq. (S.B. No. 304352)

2350 Kerner Boulevard, Suite 250

San Rafael, California 94941

Telephone: (415) 389-6800

Facsimile: (415) 388-6874

E-mail: cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com

E-mail: dlazarus@nmgovlaw.com

Attorneys for (Proposed) Intervenor-Defendants

CALIFORNIANS TO DEFEND THE OPEN PRIMARY
and INDEPENDENT VOTER PROJECT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY OF
CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Dr. SHIRLEY N. WEBER, CALIFORNIA
SECRETARY OF STATE,

Defendant.

CALIFORNIANS TO DEFEND THE
OPEN PRIMARY and INDEPENDENT
VOTER PROJECT,

(Proposed) Intervenor-Defendants.

Case No. 4:24-cv-08308-MMC

**DECLARATION OF
CHRISTOPHER E. SKINNELL IN
SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION [Civil L.R. 7-11]**

DATE: March 28, 2025

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

COURTROOM: 7 (19th Floor)

JUDGE: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER E. SKINNELL

I, CHRISTOPHER E. SKINNELL, declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am over 18 years of age. I make this declaration of my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto. I am one of the counsel of record for proposed Intervenor-Defendants Californians to Defend the Open Primary (“CADOP”) and Independent Voter Project (“IVP”) in this proceeding.

2. As discussed more fully in the motion to intervene filed simultaneously herewith, Proposed Intervenor-Defendants CADOP and IVP are the chief proponents of California’s Proposition 14, the Top Two Candidate Open Primary Act, adopted by the State’s voters in June 2010, at issue in this case. Proposition 14 has previously been subject to numerous legal challenges—this is now the seventh such challenge—and CADOP and IVP have been permitted to intervene in each of the prior cases to defend the measure.¹ Of particular note, they participated as Intervenor-Defendants in [Rubin v. Padilla](#), 233 Cal. App. 4th 1128 (1st Dist. 2015), which was brought by the same three “minor” parties that are plaintiffs in this action, raising essentially the same claims as this case does.

3. CADOP and IVP have sought the parties’ stipulation to intervene in this case as well, and while the Secretary of State does not oppose intervention, Plaintiffs do. Accordingly, CADOP and IVP have filed a motion to intervene simultaneously herewith. Having conferred with the parties regarding all counsel’s availability to attend motion hearings, that motion to intervene is set for hearing on March 28, 2025.

¹ See [Clark v. Superior Court](#), 2010 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 1911 (Cal. Ct. App. 3d Dist. Mar. 16, 2010); [Field v. Bowen](#), 199 Cal. App. 4th 346 (2011); [Chamness v. Bowen](#), 722 F.3d 1110 (9th Cir. 2013); [Rubin v. Padilla](#), 233 Cal. App. 4th 1128 (1st Dist. 2015); [Brown v. Bowen](#), Case No. 2:12-cv-05547-PA-SP (C.D. Cal.); [Soltysik v. Padilla](#), No. CV 15-07916-AB (GJSx), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 195316 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2015).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct of my personal knowledge, and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto, except for those matters stated on information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

/s/ Christopher E. Skinnell
Christopher E. Skinnell